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SpATS-package Spatial analysis of field trials with splines

## Description

This package allows the use of two-dimensional (2D) penalised splines (P-splines) in the context of agricultural field trials. Traditionally, the modelling of the spatial or environmental effect in the expression of phenotypes has been done assuming correlated random noise (Gilmour et al, 1997). We, however, propose to model the spatial variation explicitly using 2D P-splines (Rodriguez-Alvarez et al., 2018). Besides the existence of fast and stable algorithms for estimation (Rodriguez-Alvarez et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2013), the direct and nice interpretation of the spatial trend that this approach provides makes it attractive for the analysis of field experiments.

## Details

Package: SpATS
Type: Package
Version: 1.0-18
Date: 2022-11-07
License: GPL

## Author(s)

Maria Xose Rodriguez-Alvarez, Martin Boer, Paul Eilers, Fred van Eeuwijk
Maintainer: Maria Xose Rodriguez-Alvarez [mxrodriguez@uvigo.es](mailto:mxrodriguez@uvigo.es)

## References

Gilmour, A.R., Cullis, B.R., and Verbyla, A.P. (1997). Accounting for Natural and Extraneous Variation in the Analysis of Field Experiments. Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics, 2, 269-293.
Lee, D.-J., Durban, M., and Eilers, P.H.C. (2013). Efficient two-dimensional smoothing with Pspline ANOVA mixed models and nested bases. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 61, 22 - 37.

Rodriguez-Alvarez, M.X., Lee, D.-J., Kneib, T., Durban, M., and Eilers, P.H.C. (2015). Fast smoothing parameter separation in multidimensional generalized P-splines: the SAP algorithm. Statistics and Computing, 25, 941-957.

Rodriguez-Alvarez, M.X, Boer, M.P., van Eeuwijk, F.A., and Eilers, P.H.C. (2018). Correcting for spatial heterogeneity in plant breeding experiments with P-splines. Spatial Statistics, 23, 52-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spasta.2017.10.003.

## Description

This function can be used to modify some default parameters that control the estimation of an SpATS model.

## Usage

controlSpATS(maxit $=200$, tolerance $=0.001$, monitoring $=2$, update.psi $=$ FALSE, update.psi.gauss = TRUE)

## Arguments

maxit numerical value indicating the maximum number of iterations. Default set to 200 (see Details).
tolerance numerical value indicating the tolerance for the convergence criterion. Default set to 0.001 (see Details).
monitoring numerical value determining the level of printing which is done during the estimation. The value of 0 means that no printing is produced, a value of 1 means that only the computing times are printed, and a value of 2 means that, at each iteration, the (REML) deviance and the effective dimensions of the random components are printed. Default set to 2 .
update.psi logical. If TRUE, the dispersion parameter of the exponential family is updated at each iteration of the estimation algorithm. Default is FALSE, except for family = gaussian(), where the dispersion parameter (or residual variance), is updated (jointly with the rest of variance components) unless update.psi.gauss is set to FALSE.
update.psi.gauss
logical. Only applies to family = gaussian(). If set to FALSE (default is TRUE) the residual variance is not updated at each iteration of the estimation algorithm but fixed at 1 .

## Details

The estimation procedure implemented in the SpATS package is an extension of the SAP (Separation of anisotropic penalties) algorithm by Rodriguez - Alvarez et al. (2015). In this case, besides the spatial trend, modelled as a two-dimensional P-spline, the estimation algorithm allows for the incorporation of both fixed and (sets of i.i.d) random effects on the (generalised) linear mixed model. For Gaussian response variables, the algorithm is an iterative procedure, with the fixed and random effects as well as the variance components being updated at each iteration. To check the convergence of this iterative procedure, the (REML) deviance is monitored. For non-Gaussian response variables, estimation is based on Penalized Quasi-likelihood (PQL) methods. Here, the algorithm is a two-loop algorithm: the outer loop corresponds to the Fisher-Scoring algorithm (monitored on the basis of the change in the linear predictor between consecutive iterations), and the inner loop corresponds to that described for the Gaussian case.

## Value

a list with components for each of the possible arguments.

## References

Rodriguez-Alvarez, M.X., Lee, D.-J., Kneib, T., Durban, M., and Eilers, P.H.C. (2015). Fast smoothing parameter separation in multidimensional generalized P-splines: the SAP algorithm. Statistics and Computing, 25, 941-957.

## See Also

SpATS

## Examples

```
library(SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
wheatdata$R <- as.factor(wheatdata$row)
wheatdata$C <- as.factor(wheatdata$col)
# Default control parameters
m0 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10,20)),
    genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C,
    data = wheatdata)
# Modified the number of iterations, the tolerance, and the monitoring
m1 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10,20)),
    genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C,
    data = wheatdata, control = list(maxit = 50, tolerance = 1e-06, monitoring = 1))
```

    getHeritability Calculate heritabilities from SpATS objects
    
## Description

For the genotype (when random), the function returns the generalized heritability proposed by Oakey (2006).

## Usage

getHeritability(object, ...)

## Arguments

object an object of class SpATS as produced by SpATS()
. . further arguments passed to or from other methods. Not yet implemented.

## Details

A numeric vector (usually of length 1 ) with the heritabilities.

## References

Oakey, H., A. Verbyla, W. Pitchford, B. Cullis, and H. Kuchel (2006). Joint modeling of additive and non-additive genetic line effects in single field trials. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 113, 809-819.
Rodriguez-Alvarez, M.X, Boer, M.P., van Eeuwijk, F.A., and Eilers, P.H.C. (2018). Correcting for spatial heterogeneity in plant breeding experiments with P-splines. Spatial Statistics, 23, 52-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spasta.2017.10.003.

## See Also

```
SpATS, summary.SpATS
```


## Examples

```
library(SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
wheatdata$R <- as.factor(wheatdata$row)
wheatdata$C <- as.factor(wheatdata$col)
m0 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10,20), degree = 3, pord=2),
    genotype = "geno", genotype.as.random = TRUE,
    fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C, data = wheatdata,
    control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
getHeritability(m0)
```

obtain.spatialtrend Predictions of the spatial trend from an SpATS object

## Description

Takes a fitted SpATS object produced by SpATS() and produces predictions of the spatial trend on a regular two-dimensional array.

## Usage

obtain.spatialtrend(object, grid = c(100, 100), ...)

## Arguments

object an object of class SpATS as produced by SpATS()
grid a numeric vector with the number of grid points along the x - and y -coordinates respectively. Atomic values are recycled. The default is 100 .
... further arguments passed to or from other methods. Not yet implemented.

## Details

For each spatial coordinate, grid[k] equally spaced values between the minimum and the maximum are computed $(\mathrm{k}=1,2)$. The spatial trend is then predicted on the regular two-dimensional array defined by each combination of the x - and y -coordinate values.

## Value

A list with the following components:
col.p $x$-coordinate values at which predictions have been computed.
row.p $y$-coordinate values at which predictions have been computed
fit a matrix of dimension length(row.p) x length(col.p) with the predicted spatial trend (excluding the intercept).
pfit for the PS-ANOVA approach, a list with 6 matrices of dimension length(row.p) $x$ length(col.p) with each predicted spatial component (bilinear component, 2 main effects, 2 linear-by-smooth components and 1 smooth-by-smooth component).

## References

Lee, D.-J., Durban, M., and Eilers, P.H.C. (2013). Efficient two-dimensional smoothing with Pspline ANOVA mixed models and nested bases. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 61, 22 - 37.

Rodriguez-Alvarez, M.X, Boer, M.P., van Eeuwijk, F.A., and Eilers, P.H.C. (2018). Correcting for spatial heterogeneity in plant breeding experiments with P-splines. Spatial Statistics, 23, 52-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spasta.2017.10.003.

## See Also

SpATS, plot.SpATS, predict.SpATS

## Examples

```
library(SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
wheatdata$R <- as.factor(wheatdata$row)
wheatdata$C <- as.factor(wheatdata$col)
m0 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10,20)),
    genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C,
    data = wheatdata, control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
spat.trend.1 <- obtain.spatialtrend(m0)
spat.trend.2 <- obtain.spatialtrend(m0, grid = c(10, 10))
colors = topo.colors(100)
op <- par(mfrow = c(1,2))
fields::image.plot(spat.trend.1$col.p, spat.trend.1$row.p, t(spat.trend.1$fit),
    main = "Prediction on a grid of 100 x 100", col = colors, xlab = "Columns", ylab = "Rows")
```

```
fields::image.plot(spat.trend.2$col.p, spat.trend.2$row.p, t(spat.trend.2$fit),
    main = "Prediction on a grid of 10 x 10", col = colors, xlab = "Columns", ylab = "Rows")
par(op)
```

plot.SpATS Default SpATS plotting

## Description

Takes a fitted SpATS object produced by SpATS() and plots six different graphics (see Details).

## Usage

```
## S3 method for class 'SpATS'
plot(x, all.in.one = TRUE, main = NULL, annotated = FALSE,
depict.missing = FALSE, spaTrend = c("raw", "percentage"), ...)
```


## Arguments

X
all.in.one logical. If TRUE, the four plots are depicted in one window. Default is TRUE
main character string specifying the main title to appear on the plot. By default (i.e. when main $=$ NULL), the variable under study is incorporated in the title of the plot.
annotated logical. If TRUE, the variable under study and the models used is added to the plot. Only applied when argument all.in. one is TRUE.
depict.missing logical. If TRUE, the estimated spatial trend is depicted for all plots in the field, even for those with missing values.
spaTrend a character string indicating how the spatial trend should be displayed. Either "raw" (original scale), or "percentage". If 'percentage', the estimated spatial trend is scaled (i.e., divided by the average of the observed response variable of interest across the field) and results are shown as a percentage.
. . further arguments passed to or from other methods. Not yet implemented.

## Details

The following graphics are depicted: the raw data, the fitted data (on the response scale), the (deviance) residuals, the (original scaler or in percentage) estimated spatial trend (excluding the intercept), the genotypic BLUEs (or BLUPs) and their histogram. Except for the histogram, the plots are depicted in terms of the spatial coordinates (e.g., the rows and columns of the field).

## References

Rodriguez-Alvarez, M.X, Boer, M.P., van Eeuwijk, F.A., and Eilers, P.H.C. (2018). Correcting for spatial heterogeneity in plant breeding experiments with P-splines. Spatial Statistics, 23, 52-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spasta.2017.10.003.

## See Also

```
SpATS
```


## Examples

```
library(SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
wheatdata$R <- as.factor(wheatdata$row)
wheatdata$C <- as.factor(wheatdata$col)
m0 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10,20), degree = 3, pord = 2),
    genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C, data = wheatdata,
    control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
# Default plotting
plot(m0)
# Annotated
plot(m0, annotated = TRUE, main = "Wheat data (Gilmour et al., 1997)")
```

plot.variogram.SpATS Default variogram.SpATS plotting

## Description

Takes a fitted variogram. SpATS object produced by variogram. SpATS() and plots the associated sample variogram using an RGL 3D perspective plot (package plot3Drgl).

## Usage

\#\# S3 method for class 'variogram. SpATS'
plot(x, min.length $=30$, ...)

## Arguments

x
min.length
an object of class variogram. SpATS as produced by variogram. SpATS().
numerical value. The sample variogram is depicted including only those pairs with more than min. length observations (see variogram. SpATS).
... further arguments passed to or from other methods. Not yet implemented.

## Details

This function as well as function variogram. SpATS() can only be used for regular two dimensional data.

## References

Gilmour, A.R., Cullis, B.R., and Verbyla, A.P. (1997). Accounting for Natural and Extraneous Variation in the Analysis of Field Experiments. Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics, 2, 269-293.
Stefanova, K.T., Smith, A.B., and Cullis, B.R. (2009). Enhanced Diagnostics for the Spatial Analysis of Field Trials. Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics, 14, 392 410.

## See Also

SpATS, variogram. SpATS

## Examples

```
library(SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
wheatdata$R <- as.factor(wheatdata$row)
wheatdata$C <- as.factor(wheatdata$col)
m0 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10,20), degree = 3, pord = 2),
    genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C, data = wheatdata,
    control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
# Compute the variogram
var.m0 <- variogram(m0)
# Plot the variogram
plot(var.m0)
```

```
predict.SpATS Predictions from an SpATS object
```


## Description

Takes a fitted SpATS object produced by SpATS() and produces predictions.

## Usage

\#\# S3 method for class 'SpATS'
predict(object, newdata $=$ NULL, which $=$ NULL,
predFixed = c("conditional", "marginal"), return.vcov.matrix = FALSE, ...)

## Arguments

object an object of class SpATS as produced by SpATS().
newdata an optional data frame to be used for obtaining the predictions.
which an optional character string with the variables that define the margins of the multiway table to be predicted (see Details).
predFixed a character string indicating how predictions for fixed factor are computed. Either "conditional" or "marginal" (see Details).
return.vcov.matrix
logical. If TRUE, the variance-covariance matrix for the predictions is returned.
. . further arguments passed to or from other methods. Not yet implemented.

## Details

This function allows to produce predictions, either specifying: (1) the data frame on which to obtain the predictions (argument newdata), or (2) those variables that define the margins of the multiway table to be predicted (argument which). In the first case, all fixed components (including genotype when fixed) and the spatial coordinates must be present in the data frame. As for the random effects is concerned, they are excluded from the predictions when the value is missing in the data frame. In the second case, predictions are obtained for each combination of values of the specified variables that is present in the data set used to fit the model. For those variables not specified in the argument which, the following rules have been considered: (a) random factors and the spatial trend are ignored in the predictions, (b) for fixed numeric variables, the mean value is considered; and (c) for fixed factors, there are two possibilities according to argument 'predFixed': (c1) if predFixed $=$ 'conditional', the reference level is used; and (c2) predFixed = 'marginal', predictions are obtained averaging over all levels of the fixed factor.

## Value

The data frame used for obtaining the predictions, jointly with the predicted values and the corresponding standard errors. The label "Excluded" has been used to indicate those cases where a covariate has been excluded or ignored for the prediction (as for instance the random effect).

## References

Welham, S., Cullis, B., Gogel, B., Gilmour, A., and Thompson, R. (2004). Prediction in linear mixed models. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Statistics, 46, 325-347.

## See Also

SpATS, obtain.spatialtrend

## Examples

```
library(SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
wheatdata$R <- as.factor(wheatdata$row)
wheatdata$C <- as.factor(wheatdata$col)
m0 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10,20)),
    genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C,
    data = wheatdata, control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
# Fitted values: prediction on the dataset used for fitting the model
pred1.m0 <- predict(m0, newdata = wheatdata)
pred1.m0[1:5,]
```

\# Genotype prediction
pred2.m0 <- predict(m0, which = "geno")
pred2.m0[1:5,]

## Description

Default print method for objects fitted with SpATS() function.

## Usage

\#\# S3 method for class 'SpATS'
print(x, ...)

## Arguments

x an object of class SpATS as produced by SpATS() . . further arguments passed to or from other methods. Not yet implemented.

## Details

A short summary is printed including: the variable under study (response), the variable containing the genotypes, the spatial model, and the random and fixed components (when appropriate). Besides this information, the number of observations used to fit the model, as well as of those deleted due to missingness or zero weights are reported. Finally, the effective degrees of freedom (effective dimension) of the fitted model and the (REML) deviance is also printed.

## See Also

SpATS, summary. SpATS

## Examples

```
library(SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
wheatdata$R <- as.factor(wheatdata$row)
wheatdata$C <- as.factor(wheatdata$col)
m0 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10,20), degree = 3, pord = 2),
    genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C, data = wheatdata,
    control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
m0
```

PSANOVA Define a two-dimensional penalised tensor-product of marginal BSpline basis functions based on the P-spline ANOVA (PSANOVA) approach.

## Description

Auxiliary function used for modelling the spatial or environmental effect as a two-dimensional penalised tensor-product of marginal B-spline basis functions with anisotropic penalties on the basis of the PSANOVA approach by Lee et al. (2013).

## Usage

PSANOVA(..., nseg $=c(10,10)$, pord $=c(2,2)$, degree $=c(3,3)$, nest. div $=c(1,1)$, center = FALSE)

## Arguments

| $\ldots$. | a list of the covariates that this smooth component is a function of. Currently, <br> only two-dimensional tensor-product smoothers are implemented: the first co- <br> variate is assumed to define the x-spatial coordinate (e.g., column position) of <br> each plot in the field, and the second argument the y-spatial coordinate (i.e., the <br> row position). Both covariates should be numerical. <br> numerical vector of length 2 containing the number of segments for each marginal <br> (strictly nseg-1 is the number of internal knots in the domain of the covariate). <br> Atomic values are also valid, being recycled. Default set to 10 . <br> numerical vector of length 2 containing the penalty order for each marginal. <br> Atomic values are also valid, being recycled. Default set to 2 (second order). <br> Currently, only second order penalties are allowed. <br> numerical vector of length 2 containing the order of the polynomial of the B- |
| :--- | :--- |
| pord | spline basis for each marginal. Atomic values are also valid, being recycled. <br> Default set to 3 (cubic B-splines). <br> numerical vector of length 2 containing the divisor of the number of segments <br> (nseg) to be used for the construction of the nested B-spline basis for the smooth- |
| nest. div | by-smooth interaction component. In this case, the nested B-spline basis will <br> be constructed assuming a total of nseg/nest. div segments. Default set to 1, <br> which implies that nested basis are not used. See SAP for more details. |
| center | logical. If TRUE, the fitted spatial trend (2D surface) will be centered at zero <br> for the observed data (i.e., the average of the fitted spatial trend will be zero at <br> the observed data). By default FALSE (for compatibility with versions of the |
| package previous to 1.0-13. |  |

## Details

The approach implemented here represents an alternative method to the SAP function. In this case, the smooth bivariate surface (or spatial trend) is decomposed in five different components each of them depending on a single smoothing parameter (see Lee et al., 2013).

## References

Gilmour, A.R., Cullis, B.R., and Verbyla, A.P. (1997). Accounting for Natural and Extraneous Variation in the Analysis of Field Experiments. Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics, 2, 269-293.
Lee, D.-J., Durban, M., and Eilers, P.H.C. (2013). Efficient two-dimensional smoothing with Pspline ANOVA mixed models and nested bases. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 61, 22 - 37.

## See Also

SpATS, SAP

## Examples

```
library(SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
wheatdata$R <- as.factor(wheatdata$row)
wheatdata$C <- as.factor(wheatdata$col)
# Without nested basis
m0 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ PSANOVA(col, row, nseg = c(10,20)),
    genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C, data = wheatdata,
    control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
summary(m0)
# With nested basis
m1 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ PSANOVA(col, row, nseg = c(10,20), nest.div = 2),
genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C, data = wheatdata,
control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
summary(m1)
```

Define a two-dimensional penalised tensor-product of marginal $B$ Spline basis functions.

## Description

Auxiliary function used for modelling the spatial or environmental effect as a two-dimensional penalised tensor-product of marginal B-spline basis functions with anisotropic penalties. The approach implemented here corresponds to the Separation of Anisotropic Penalties (SAP) algorithm introduced by Rodriguez-Alvarez et al. (2015).

## Usage

SAP $(\ldots$, nseg $=c(10,10)$, pord $=c(2,2)$, degree $=c(3,3)$, nest. div $=c(1,1)$, ANOVA $=$ FALSE, center $=$ FALSE)

## Arguments

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {... a list of the covariates that this smooth component is a function of. Currently, } \\
& \text { only two-dimensional tensor-product smoothers are implemented: the first co- } \\
& \text { variate is assumed to define the } x \text {-spatial coordinate (e.g., column position) of } \\
& \text { each plot in the field, and the second argument the } y \text {-spatial coordinate (i.e., the } \\
& \text { row position). Both covariates should be numerical. } \\
& \text { nseg numerical vector of length } 2 \text { containing the number of segments for each marginal } \\
& \text { (strictly nseg-1 is the number of internal knots in the domain of the covariate). } \\
& \text { Atomic values are also valid, being recycled. Default set to } 10 \text {. } \\
& \text { pord numerical vector of length } 2 \text { containing the penalty order for each marginal. } \\
& \text { Atomic values are also valid, being recycled. Default set to } 2 \text { (second order). } \\
& \text { degree numerical vector of length } 2 \text { containing the order of the polynomial of the } \mathrm{B} \text { - } \\
& \text { spline basis for each marginal. Atomic values are also valid, being recycled. } \\
& \text { Default set to } 3 \text { (cubic B-splines). } \\
& \text { nest.div numerical vector of length } 2 \text { containing the divisor of the number of segments } \\
& \text { (nseg) to be used for the construction of the nested B-spline basis for the smooth- } \\
& \text { by-smooth interaction component. In this case, the nested B-spline basis will } \\
& \text { be constructed assuming a total of nseg/nest. div segments. Default set to } 1 \text {, } \\
& \text { which implies that nested basis are not used. See details. } \\
& \text { ANOVA logical. If TRUE, four different smoothing parameters are considered: two for } \\
& \text { the main effects and two for the smooth interaction. See details. } \\
& \text { center logical. If TRUE, the fitted spatial trend (2D surface) will be centered at zero } \\
& \text { for the observed data (i.e., the average of the fitted spatial trend will be zero at } \\
& \text { the observed data). By default FALSE (for compatibility with versions of the } \\
& \text { package previous to 1.0-13. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Details

Within the P-spline framework, anisotropic low-rank tensor-product smoothers have become the general approach for modelling multidimensional surfaces (Eilers and Marx 2003; Wood 2006). In this package, we propose to model the spatial or environmental effect by means of the tensorproduct of B-splines basis functions. In other words, we propose to model the spatial trend as a smooth bivariate surface jointly defined over the the spatial coordinates. Accordingly, the current function has been designed to allow the user to specify the spatial coordinates that the spatial trend is a function of. There is no restriction about how the spatial coordinates shall be specified: these can be the longitude and latitude of the position of the plot on the field or the column and row numbers. The only restriction is that the variables defining the spatial coordinates should be numeric (in contrast to factors).

As far as estimation is concerned, we have used in this package the equivalence between P -splines and linear mixed models (Currie and Durban, 2002). Under this approach, the smoothing parameters are expressed as the ratio between variance components. Moreover, the smooth components are decomposed in two parts: one which is not penalised (and treated as fixed) and one with is penalised (and treated as random). For the two-dimensional case, the mixed model representation leads also to a very interesting decomposition of the penalised part of the bivariate surface in three different components (Lee and Durban, 2011): (a) a component that contains the smooth main
effect (smooth trend) along one of the covariates that the surface is a function of (as, e.g, the xspatial coordinate or column position of the plot in the field), (b) a component that contains the smooth main effect (smooth trend) along the other covariate (i.e., the y-spatial coordinate or row position); and (c) a smooth interaction component (sum of the linear-by-smooth interaction components and the smooth-by-smooth interaction component). The default implementation used in this function (ANOVA $=$ FALSE) assumes two different smoothing parameters, i.e., one for each covariate in the smooth component. Accordingly, the same smoothing parameters are used for both, the main effects and the smooth interaction. However, this approach can be extended to deal with the ANOVA-type decomposition presented in Lee and Durban (2011). In their approach, four different smoothing parameters are considered for the smooth surface, that are in concordance with the aforementioned decomposition: (a) two smoothing parameter, one for each of the main effects; and (b) two smoothing parameter for the smooth interaction component. Here, this decomposition can be obtained by specifying the argument ANOVA $=$ TRUE.
It should be noted that, the computational burden associated with the estimation of the two-dimensional tensor-product smoother might be prohibitive if the dimension of the marginal bases is large. In these cases, Lee et al. (2013) propose to reduce the computational cost by using nested bases. The idea is to reduce the dimension of the marginal bases (and therefore the associated number of parameters to be estimated), but only for the smooth-by-smooth interaction component. As pointed out by the authors, this simplification can be justified by the fact that the main effects would in fact explain most of the structure (or spatial trend) presented in the data, and so a less rich representation of the smooth-by-smooth interaction component could be needed. In order to ensure that the reduced bivariate surface is in fact nested to the model including only the main effects, Lee et al. (2013) show that the number of segments used for the nested basis should be a divisor of the number of segments used in the original basis (nseg argument). In the present function, the divisor of the number of segments is specified through the argument nest. div. For a more detailed review on this topic, see Lee (2010) and Lee et al. (2013).

## References

Currie, I., and Durban, M. (2002). Flexible smoothing with P-splines: a unified approach. Statistical Modelling, 4, 333-349.
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## See Also

```
SpATS, PSANOVA
```


## Examples

```
library(SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
wheatdata$R <- as.factor(wheatdata$row)
wheatdata$C <- as.factor(wheatdata$col)
# Original anisotropic approach: two smoothing parameters
m0 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10,20)),
    genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C,
    data = wheatdata, control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
summary(m0)
# ANOVA decomposition: four smoothing parameters
## Not run:
m1 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10,20), ANOVA = TRUE),
    genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C, data = wheatdata,
    control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
summary(m1)
## End(Not run)
```

SpATS Spatial analysis of field trials with splines

## Description

Function specifically designed for the analysis of field trials experiments with the spatial trend being modelled by means of two-dimensional P-splines.

## Usage

SpATS(response, genotype, geno.decomp = NULL, genotype.as.random = FALSE, spatial, fixed $=$ NULL, random $=$ NULL, data, family $=$ gaussian(), offset $=0$, weights $=$ NULL, control $=$ controlSpATS())

## Arguments

response a character string with the name of the variable that contains the response variable of interest.
genotype a character string with the name of the variable that contains the genotypes or varieties. This variable must be a factor on the data frame.

geno. decomp | an optional character string with the name of a factor variable according which |
| :--- |
| genotypes are grouped, with different genetic variance being assumed for each |
| group. Only applies when genotype is random |

genotype.as.random
logical. If TRUE, the genotype is included as random effect in the model. The
default is FALSE.
a right hand formula object specifying the spatial P-Spline model. See SAP and
PSANOVA for more details about how to specify the spatial trend.

## Details

This function fits a (generalised) linear mixed model, with the variance components being estimated by the restricted log-likelihood (REML). The estimation procedure is an extension of the SAP (Separation of anisotropic penalties) algorithm by Rodriguez - Alvarez et al. (2015). In this package, besides the spatial trend, modelled as a two-dimensional P-spline, the implemented estimation algorithm also allows for the incorporation of both fixed and (sets of i.i.d) random effects on the (generalised) linear mixed model. As far as the implementation of the algorithm is concerned, the sparse structure of the design matrix associated with the genotype has been taken into account. The combination of both the SAP algorithm and this sparse structure makes the algorithm computational efficient, allowing the proposal to be applied for the analysis of large datasets.

## Value

A list with the following components:

| call | the matched call. |
| :--- | :--- |
| data | the original supplied data argument with a new column with the weights used <br> during the fitting process. |
| model | a list with the model components: response, spatial, genotype, fixed and/or ran- <br> dom. |
| fitted | a numeric vector with the fitted values. |
| residuals | a numeric vector with deviance residuals. |


| psi | a two-length vector with the values of the dispersion parameter at convergence. For Gaussian responses both elements coincide, being the (REML) estimate of dispersion parameter. For non-Gaussian responses, the result depends on the argument update.psi of the controlSpATS function. If this argument was specified to FALSE (the default), the first component of the vector corresponds to the default value used for the dispersion parameter (usually 1). The second element, correspond to the (REML) estimate of the dispersion parameter at convergence. If the argument update.psi was specified to TRUE, both components coincide (as in the Gaussian case). |
| :---: | :---: |
| var.comp | a numeric vector with the (REML) variance component estimates. These vector contains the variance components associated with the spatial trend, as well as those related with the random model terms. |
| eff.dim | a numeric vector with the estimated effective dimension (or effective degrees of freedom) for each model component (genotype, spatial, fixed and/or random) |
| dim | a numeric vector with the (model) dimension of each model component (genotype, spatial, fixed and/or random). This value corresponds to the number of parameters to be estimated |
| dim.nom | a numeric vector with the (nominal) dimension of each component (genotype, spatial, fixed and/or random). For the random terms of the model, this value corresponds to upper bound for the effective dimension (i.e., the maximum effective dimension a random term can achive). This nominal dimension is $\operatorname{rank}\left[X, Z_{k}\right]-\operatorname{rank}[X]$, where $Z_{k}$ is the design matrix of the k-th random factor and $X$ is the design matrix of the fixed part of the model. In most cases (but not always), the nominal dimension corresponds to the model dimension minus one, "lost" due to the implicit constraint that ensures the mean of the random effects to be zero. |
| nobs | number of observations used to fit the model |
| niterations | number of iterations EM-algorithm |
| deviance | the (REML) deviance at convergence (i.e., - 2 times the restricted log-likelihood) |
| coeff | a numeric vector with the estimated fixed and random effect coefficients. |
| terms | a list with the model terms: response, spatial, genotype, fixed and/or random. The information provided here is useful for printing and prediction purposes. |
| vcov | inverse of the coefficient matrix of the mixed models equations. The inverse is needed for the computation of standard errors. For computational issues, the inverse is returned as a list: C11_inv corresponds to the coefficient matrix associated with the genotype; C22_inv corresponds to the coefficient matrix associated with the spatial, the fixed and the random components; and C12_inv and C21_inv correspond to the "combination" of both. |
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See Also<br>SpATS-package, controlSpATS, SAP, PSANOVA

## Examples

```
library(SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
summary(wheatdata)
# Create factor variable for row and columns
wheatdata$R <- as.factor(wheatdata$row)
wheatdata$C <- as.factor(wheatdata$col)
m0 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10,20), degree = 3, pord = 2),
    genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C, data = wheatdata,
    control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
# Brief summary
m0
# More information: dimensions
summary(m0) # summary(fit.m2, which = "dimensions")
# More information: variances
summary(m0, which = "variances")
# More information: all
summary(m0, which = "all")
# Plot results
plot(m0)
plot(m0, all.in.one = FALSE)
# Variogram
var.m0 <- variogram(m0)
plot(var.m0)
```


## Description

Default summary method for objects fitted with SpATS() function.

## Usage

\#\# S3 method for class 'SpATS'
summary(object, which = c("dimensions", "variances", "all"), ...)

## Arguments

object
an object of class SpATS as produced by SpATS()
which character vector indicating the information to be shown on the screen. "dimensions": prints the dimensions associated to each component of the fitted model (see details), "variances": prints the variance components and standard deviation (SD), the residual variance, and the ratio between the residual variance and the variance components (in $\log 10$ scale); and, "all": both dimensions and variances are printed.
... further arguments passed to or from other methods. Not yet implemented.

## Details

This function provides relevant information about the fitted model. The dimensions associated to each model term, as well as the variance components (jointly with the standard deviation), can be obtained. Specifically, the following information is shown:
dimensions dimensions associated to each model term (genotype, spatial, fixed and/or random):

- Effective: the effective dimension or effective degrees of freedom. The concept of effective dimension is well known in the smoothing framework. However, its use has been less recognized in the mixed model framework. The effective dimension of a fitted model is defined as the trace of the so-called hat matrix (Hastie and Tibshirani, 1990). For each model term, the effective dimension is then defined as the trace of the block of the hat matrix corresponding to this term. For fixed effects, the effective dimension coincides with the model dimension (see below). For random and smooth terms, however, the effective dimension is usually lower than the model dimension. In these cases, the effective dimension or effective degrees of freedom can be interpreted as the effective number of estimated parameters. It is worth nothing that for the specification of the spatial trend using the SAP function, the effective dimension associated to each spatial coordinates is shown (see Rodriguez-Alvarez et al. (2015) for details), as well as the global effective dimension (the sum of these two values). For the ANOVA-type decomposition using SAP, besides the former information, the effective dimension associated to each main effect is also shown. This is in concordance with the number of smoothing parameters (or variance components in the mixed model framework), used to model the spatial trend.

Accordingly, for the spatial trend specified using the function PSANOVA, the effective dimension of each of the five components is shown (and no global summary is reported). More information can be found in Rodriguez-Alvarez et al. (2018).

- Model: the model dimension corresponds to the number of parameters to be estimated. For the specification of the spatial trend using the SpATS function, the model and nominal dimensions are only specified for the global term (and for the main effects when using the ANOVA-type decomposition).
- Nominal: for the random terms of the model, the nominal dimension corresponds to upper bound for the effective dimension (i.e., the maximum effective dimension a random term can achive). This nominal dimension is $\operatorname{rank}\left[X, Z_{k}\right]-\operatorname{rank}[X]$, where $Z_{k}$ is the design matrix of the k-th random factor and $X$ is the design matrix of the fixed part of the model. In most cases (but not always), the nominal dimension corresponds to the model dimension minus one, "lost" due to the implicit constraint that ensures the mean of the random effects to be zero.
- Ratio: ratio between the effective dimension and the nominal dimension. For the genotype (when random), this ratio corresponds to the generalized heritability proposed by Oakey (2006). A deeper discussion can be found in Rodriguez - Alvarez et al. (2018)
- Type: Model term type 'F' Fixed, 'R' Random, and 'S' Smooth (spatial trend).
variances variance components associated to the random terms and the smooth spatial trend, as well as the ratio between the residual variance and the variance components (in $\log 10$ scale). In the smoothing framework, this ratio corresponds to the smoothing parameter.


## Value

Returns an object of class summary. SpATS with the same components as an SpATS object (see SpATS) plus:
p.table.dim a matrix containing all the information related to the dimensions of the fitted model.
p.table.vc a matrix containing all the information related to the variance components of the fitted model

## References
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## See Also

## SpATS

## Examples

```
library(SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
summary(wheatdata)
# Create factor variable for row and columns
wheatdata$R <- as.factor(wheatdata$row)
wheatdata$C <- as.factor(wheatdata$col)
m0 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10, 20), degree = 3, pord = 2),
    genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C, data = wheatdata,
    control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
# Brief summary
m0
# More information: dimensions
summary(m0) # summary(fit.m2, which = "dimensions")
# More information: variances
summary(m0, which = "variances")
# More information: all
summary(m0, which = "all")
```

variogram Sample variogram

## Description

Computes the sample variogram from an SpATS object.

## Usage

\#\# S3 method for class 'SpATS'
variogram(x, ...)

## Arguments

x
an object of class SpATS as produced by SpATS().
... further arguments passed to or from other methods. Not yet implemented

## Details

The present function computes the sample variogram on the basis of the (deviance) residuals of the fitted model. Currently, the function can only be applied for regular two-dimensional data, i.e, when the plots of the field are arranged in a regular two-dimensional array (usually defined by the column
and row positions). For each pair of (deviance) residuals $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$, the half-squared difference is computed

$$
v_{i j}=0.5\left(e_{i}-e_{j}\right)^{2},
$$

as well as the corresponding column $\left(c d_{i j}\right)$ and row displacements $\left(r d_{i j}\right)$, with

$$
c d_{i j}=\left|c_{i}-c_{j}\right|
$$

and

$$
r d_{i j}=\left|r_{i}-r_{j}\right|,
$$

where $c_{k}$ and $r_{k}$ denote the column and row position of plot $k$ respectively. The sample variogram is then defined as the triplet

$$
\left(c d_{i j}, r d_{i j}, \bar{v}_{i j}\right)
$$

where $\bar{v}_{i j}$ denotes the average of the $v_{i j}$ that share the same column and row displacements. For a more detailed description, see Gilmour et al. (1997).

## Value

An object of class variogram. SpATS with the following components:
data data frame including the following information: "value": the value of the sample variogram at each pair of column and row displacements; and "length": the number of observations used to compute the sample variogram at the corresponding pair of displacements.
col.displacement
numerical vector containing the column displacements
row.displacement
numerical vector containing the row displacements

## References

Gilmour, A.R., Cullis, B.R., and Verbyla, A.P. (1997). Accounting for Natural and Extraneous Variation in the Analysis of Field Experiments. Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics, 2, 269-293.

Stefanova, K.T., Smith, A.B. and Cullis, B.R. (2009). Enhanced Diagnostics for the Spatial Analysis of Field Trials. Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics, 14, 392-410.

## See Also

SpATS, plot.variogram. SpATS

## Examples

```
library(SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
wheatdata$R <- as.factor(wheatdata$row)
wheatdata$C <- as.factor(wheatdata$col)
m0 <- SpATS(response = "yield", spatial = ~ SAP(col, row, nseg = c(10,20), degree = 3, pord = 2),
genotype = "geno", fixed = ~ colcode + rowcode, random = ~ R + C, data = wheatdata,
```

```
control = list(tolerance = 1e-03))
# Compute the variogram
var.m0 <- variogram(m0)
# Plot the variogram
plot(var.m0)
```

```
wheatdata Wheat yield in South Australia
```


## Description

A randomized complete block experiment of wheat in South Australia (Gilmour et al., 1997).

## Usage

data("wheatdata")

## Format

A data frame with 330 observations on the following 7 variables
yield yield, numeric
geno wheat variety, a factor with 107 levels
rep replicate factor, 3 levels
row row position, numeric
col column position, a numeric vector
rowcode a factor with 2 levels. This factor is related to the way the trial and the plots were sown (see Details).
colcode a factor with 3 levels. This factor is related to the way the plots were trimmed to an assumed equal length before harvest (see Details).

## Details

A near complete block design experiment. In this experiment, a total of 107 varieties were sown in 3 replicates in a near complete block design (3 varieties were sown twice in each replicate). Each replicate consisted of 5 columns and 22 rows. Accordingly, the field consists on 15 columns and 22 rows (i.e. a total of 330 plots or observations). Trimming was done by spraying the wheat with herbicide. The sprayer travelled in a serpentine pattern up and down columns. More specifically, the plots were trimmed according to four possible combinations: up/down, down/down, down/up, and up/up. The colcode factor describe this 4-phase sequence. With respect to the way the trial was sown, the procedure was done in a serpentine manner with a planter that seeded three rows at a time (Left, Middle, Right). This fact led to a systematic effect on the yield due to sowing. The variable rowcode describes the position of each plot in the triplet (Left, Middle, Right). Level 1 was used for the middle plot, and 2 for those on the right or on the left of the triplet (see Gilmour et al. (1997) for more details).
wheatdata

## Source

Gilmour, A.R., Cullis, B.R., and Verbyla, A.P. (1997). Accounting for Natural and Extraneous Variation in the Analysis of Field Experiments. Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics, 2, 269-293.
Kevin Wright (2015). agridat: Agricultural datasets. R package version 1.12. http://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=agridat

## Examples

library (SpATS)
data(wheatdata)
summary (wheatdata)

## Index

* datasets
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print. SpATS, 11
PSANOVA, 12, 16, 17, 19, 21
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